

**LAW REVIEW<sup>1</sup> 09015**

**April 2009**

**13th Supreme Court Case Relating to Reemployment Statute *Alabama Power Co. v. Davis, 431 U.S. 581 (1977)***

By Captain Samuel F. Wright, JAGC, USN (Ret.)<sup>2</sup>

1.3.2.2—Continuous Accumulation of Seniority, Escalator Principle

1.3.2.3—Pension Credit for Military Service Time

10.1—Supreme Court Cases on Reemployment

Raymond E. Davis worked for the Alabama Power Company from Aug. 16, 1936, until June 1, 1971, when he retired. His long career with the company was interrupted by 30 months of World War II active duty, from March 1943 until September 1945, when he was honorably discharged at the end of the war.

On July 1, 1944, while Mr. Davis was on active duty, the company established a defined benefit pension plan that rewarded company service both before and after that date. When the company computed Mr. Davis' monthly pension entitlement upon his retirement in 1971, the

---

<sup>1</sup>I invite the reader's attention to <https://www.roa.org/page/LawCenter>. You will find more than 2000 "Law Review" articles about the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA), the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (SCRA), the Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA), the Uniformed Services Former Spouse Protection Act (USFSPA), and other laws that are especially pertinent to those who serve our country in uniform. You will also find a detailed Subject Index, to facilitate finding articles about specific topics. The Reserve Officers Association, now doing business as the Reserve Organization of America (ROA), initiated this column in 1997.

<sup>2</sup>BA 1973 Northwestern University, JD (law degree) 1976 University of Houston, LLM (advanced law degree) 1980 Georgetown University. I served in the Navy and Navy Reserve as a Judge Advocate General's Corps officer and retired in 2007. I am a life member of ROA. For 43 years, I have worked with volunteers around the country to reform absentee voting laws and procedures to facilitate the enfranchisement of the brave young men and women who serve our country in uniform. I have also dealt with the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA) and the Veterans' Reemployment Rights Act (VRRA—the 1940 version of the federal reemployment statute) for 36 years. I developed the interest and expertise in this law during the decade (1982-92) that I worked for the United States Department of Labor (DOL) as an attorney. Together with one other DOL attorney (Susan M. Webman), I largely drafted the proposed VRRA rewrite that President George H.W. Bush presented to Congress, as his proposal, in February 1991. On 10/13/1994, President Bill Clinton signed into law USERRA, Public Law 103-353, 108 Stat. 3162. The version of USERRA that President Clinton signed in 1994 was 85% the same as the Webman-Wright draft. USERRA is codified in title 38 of the United States Code at sections 4301 through 4335 (38 U.S.C. 4301-35). I have also dealt with the VRRA and USERRA as a judge advocate in the Navy and Navy Reserve, as an attorney for the Department of Defense (DOD) organization called Employer Support of the Guard and Reserve (ESGR), as an attorney for the United States Office of Special Counsel (OSC), as an attorney in private practice, and as the Director of the Service Members Law Center (SMLC), as a full-time employee of ROA, for six years (2009-15). Please see Law Review 15052 (June 2015), concerning the accomplishments of the SMLC. My paid employment with ROA ended 5/31/2015, but I have continued the work of the SMLC as a volunteer. You can reach me by e-mail at [SWright@roa.org](mailto:SWright@roa.org).

company excluded the 30 months that he was away from work for military service. This exclusion cost Mr. Davis \$18 per month in pension benefits.

Mr. Davis sued, claiming that he was entitled to pension credit for his military service time under the “escalator principle” enunciated by the Supreme Court in *Fishgold v. Sullivan Drydock & Repair Corp.*, 328 U.S. 275, 284-85 (1946). The District Court ruled in his favor. *Davis v. Alabama Power Co.*, 383 F. Supp. 880 (N.D. Ala. 1974). The employer appealed, and the Court of Appeals affirmed the District Court’s judgment in a brief *per curiam* decision. *Davis v. Alabama Power Co.*, 542 F.2d 650 (5th Cir. 1976).

The Supreme Court granted *certiorari* and affirmed, in a unanimous decision written by Justice Thurgood Marshall. The Court held that Mr. Davis was entitled to pension credit for the 30 months that he was away from work for military service, because the pension benefit met the two-pronged test as a perquisite of seniority. A pension benefit is intended to be a reward for length of service rather than a form of short-term compensation for services, and it is reasonably certain that Mr. Davis would have received the 30 months of pension credit if his career with the company had not been interrupted by military service. Justice Marshall’s opinion contains an interesting and useful survey of the Supreme Court cases about the escalator principle.

It is important to note that the pension plan at issue in this case was a defined benefit plan. The Court set aside and did not answer how the escalator principle might or might not apply to defined contribution plans. “Petitioner’s plan is a ‘defined benefit’ plan, under which the benefits to be received by employees are fixed and the employer’s contribution is adjusted to whatever level is necessary to provide those benefits. The other basic type of pension is a ‘defined contribution’ plan, under which the employer’s contribution is fixed and the employee receives whatever level of benefits the amount contributed on his behalf will provide. See 29 U.S.C. 1002 (34) (35) (1970 ed., Supp. V); Note, Fiduciary Standards and the Prudent Man Rule under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, 88 Harv. L. Rev. 960, 961-963 (1975). We intmate no views on whether defined contribution plans are to be treated differently from defined benefit plans under the [reemployment statute].” *Alabama Power Co. v. Davis*, 431 U.S. 581, 593 n. 18 (1977).

Section 4318 of the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act (USERRA), 38 U.S.C. 4318, applies to both defined benefit plans and defined contribution plans, but slightly less generously in the case of defined contribution plans. Congress enacted USERRA in 1994 as a complete recodification of the 1940 reemployment statute. USERRA applies to “reemployments initiated” on or after Dec. 12, 1994. It is still very much of an open question as to how the escalator principle applies to a defined contribution plan in the case of military service prior to the effective date of USERRA.

### **Please join or support ROA**

This article is one of 1800-plus “Law Review” articles available at [www.roa.org/page/lawcenter](http://www.roa.org/page/lawcenter). The Reserve Officers Association, now doing business as the Reserve Organization of America (ROA), initiated this column in 1997. New articles are added each month.

ROA is almost a century old—it was established in 1922 by a group of veterans of “The Great War,” as World War I was then known. One of those veterans was Captain Harry S. Truman. As President, in 1950, he signed our congressional charter. Under that charter, our mission is to advocate for the implementation of policies that provide for adequate national security. For many decades, we have argued that the Reserve Components, including the National Guard, are a cost-effective way to meet our nation’s defense needs.

Indeed, ROA is the *only* national military organization that exclusively supports America’s Reserve and National Guard.

Through these articles, and by other means, we have sought to educate service members, their spouses, and their attorneys about their legal rights and about how to exercise and enforce those rights. We provide information to service members, without regard to whether they are members of ROA or eligible to join, but please understand that ROA members, through their dues and contributions, pay the costs of providing this service and all the other great services that ROA provides.

If you are now serving or have ever served in any one of our nation’s seven uniformed services, you are eligible for membership in ROA, and a one-year membership only costs \$20. Enlisted personnel as well as officers are eligible for full membership, and eligibility applies to those who are serving or have served in the Active Component, the National Guard, or the Reserve.

If you are eligible for ROA membership, please join. You can join on-line at [www.roa.org](http://www.roa.org) or call ROA at 800-809-9448.

If you are not eligible to join, please contribute financially, to help us keep up and expand this effort on behalf of those who serve. Please mail us a contribution to:

Reserve Officers Association  
1 Constitution Ave. NE  
Washington, DC 20002